

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
10 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**  
11

12 FRANK ORTIZ,  
13 #53155

14 Plaintiff,

15 vs.

16 RENEE BAKER, *et al.*,

17 Defendants.  
18

3:10-cv-00290-HDM-RAM

**ORDER**

19 This is a *pro se* civil rights action, filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On July 27, 2010,  
20 the court issued an order directing plaintiff to file an amended complaint to cure the deficiencies of the  
21 original complaint (docket #10). The court expressly warned plaintiff that failure to respond to this  
22 court's order would result in dismissal of this action. The order was served on plaintiff at his address  
23 of record. On August 23, 2010, the court denied plaintiff's motion for reconsideration of the July 27,  
24 2010 order (*see* docket #17).

25 More than the allotted time has elapsed and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint.  
26 Accordingly, this entire action is dismissed for failure to comply with the court's order.


**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that this action is **DISMISSED** for the failure of

1 plaintiff to file an amended complaint.

2 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk shall **ENTER JUDGMENT** accordingly  
3 and close this case.

4  
5 DATED this 30<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2010.

6 \

7 

8  
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE